Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/731,452	TAGHAVI, SHANE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	D. S. Nakarani	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>D. S. Nakarani</u> .	(3)	
(2) Jon L. Roberts, Attorney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>27 October 2006</u>	Time: <u>3:45 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 9-26 and 28 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	NATURE OF WILLET WAS	DIOCUSSED
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Mr. Roberts agreed to cancel claims 9-24 directed to nonelected it issues raised by amendment after final for consideration. For details	nventions and to amend claims 1	, 25, 26 and 28 to avoid new
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Drakami. 10/24/06		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		